arXiv:1203.0862v4 [math.PR] 18 Feb 2013

Asymptotic Properties of Coupled Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations

Ana Bela Cruzeiro[1]*, André de Oliveira Gomes[2,3]†, Liangquan Zhang[4,5]‡

1. GFMUL and Dep. de Matemática IST(TUL).
Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal.
E-mail: abcruz@math.ist.utl.pt.
2. GFMUL - Grupo de Física Matemática, Universidade de Lisboa
Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal.
3. Institut für Mathematik Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany.
E-mail: adeoliveriagomes@sapo.pt.
4. School of mathematics, Shandong University, China.
5. Laboratoire de Mathématiques,
Université de Bretagne Occidentale, 29285 Brest Cédex, France.
E-mail: xiaoquan51011@163.com.

February 19, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we consider coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs in short) with parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, of the following type

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & x + \int_t^s f\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) \mathrm{d}r + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_t^s \sigma\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) \mathrm{d}W(r), \\ Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right) + \int_s^T g\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) \mathrm{d}r \\ & & - \int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) \mathrm{d}W(r), \ 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \end{array} \right.$$

We study the asymptotic behavior of its solutions and establish a large deviation principle for the corresponding processes.

AMS subject classifications. 60F10; 60H10.
Key words: Large deviation principle, Forward-backward stochastic differential equations, Random perturbation, Meyer-Zheng topology.

1 Introduction

Non-linear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs in short) were first introduced in Stochastic Optimal Control Theory with the pioneering work of Bismut [6] and then developed by Pardoux and Peng [25]. Since then,

---

[*] A. B. Cruzeiro acknowledges the financial support of the FCT project PTDC/MAT/104173/2008.

[†] A. de Oliveira Gomes acknowledges the financial support partly by the FCT SFRH/BD/51528/2011 and by the project IRTG 1740/ TRP 2011/50151-0 funded by the DFG/FAPESP.

[‡] L. Zhang acknowledges the financial support partly by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 11201263 and No. 11201264).) and the Nature Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2012AQ004).

they have become a powerful tool in many fields, such as mathematics finance, optimal control, stochastic games, partial differential equations and homogenization etc. Simultaneously, it is well known that the Hamiltonian system associated with studying maximum principle of stochastic optimal control problems is the corresponding to certain fully coupled forward backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs in short) (see [30]). In mathematical finance, fully coupled FBSDEs can be encountered when one studied the problems of hedging options involved in a large investor in financial market (see [10, 16]). Besides, fully coupled FBSDEs can provide probabilistic interpretations for the solutions of a class of quasilinear parabolic and elliptic PDEs (see [27, 28, 29, 30]).

There are three main approaches to solve FBSDEs. The first one, the Method of Contraction Mapping, which, was considered by Antonelli [2] and later developed by Pardoux-Tang [29], works well when the duration T is relatively small. Second, the Four Step Scheme. It removed the restriction on the time duration for Markovian FBSDEs, as initiated by Ma-Protter-Yong [23]. Third, the Method of Continuation. This method can treat non-Markovian FBSDEs with arbitrary duration, initiated by Hu-Peng [19] and Peng-Wu [30], and later developed by Ma et al in [22]. It is worth noting that these three methods do not cover each other.

In our paper, on one hand, we obtain two kind of asymptotic results. The first employs the assumptions established by Peng et al in [19], [30] and provides the convergence in distribution of the associated processes (Theorem 15). The second, more closely related to the PDE point of view but still using strictly probabilistic methods, provides almost-sure convergence with values in some L^2 type spaces (Theorem 16). In this last result the problem of convergence of classical/viscosity solutions of the quasilinear parabolic system of PDE's associated to the backward equation is naturally addressed. Notice that when this PDE takes the form of the backward Burgers equation, the problem becomes the convergence of solutions for vanishing viscosity hydrodynamical parameter. We mention the work [18] where the authors do the asymptotic studies of FBSDEs with generalized Burgers type nonlinearities.

The large deviation principle (LDP) characterizes the limiting behavior of probability measure in applied probability and largely used in rare events simulation. Recently, there has been a growing literature on studying the applications of LDP in finance (see [31]).

We now consider the following small perturbation of FBSDEs (1.1)

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & x+\int_t^s f\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r \\ & & +\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s \sigma\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)+\int_s^T g\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r \\ & & -\int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r),\ 0\le t\le s\le T. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

The solution of this equation is denoted by

$$\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s),t\le s\le T\right).$$

On the other hand, we want to establish the large deviation principle of the law of $Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ in the space of $C\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, namely the asymptotic estimates of probabilities $P\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\in\Gamma\right)$, where $\Gamma\in\mathcal{B}\left(C\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right)$.

Ma et al in [24] first considered the sample path large deviation principle for the adapted solutions to the FBSDEs in the case where the drift term contains Z and under appropriate conditions in terms of a certain type of convergence of solutions for the associated quasilinear PDEs. While, with probability methods, by the contraction principle, the same small random perturbation for BSDEs and the Freidlin-Wentzell's large deviation estimates in $C\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ are also obtained by [13, 17, 18, 32] (see references therein). In [11], a large deviation principle of the Freidlin and Wentzell type under the nonlinear probability for diffusion processes with a small diffusion coefficient was obtained.

Our aim in this paper is extend the previous work [13, 17, 18, 32] to the coupled case. i.e., when both drift and diffusion terms contain Y, by probability methods and under some suitable assumptions. Note that in [11], the authors considered the fully coupled FBSDEs via the corresponding PDE techniques.

We present the framework as follows. In Section 2, we give the framework of our paper. Some estimates and regularity results are established for the solutions of FBSDEs (1.1) in Section 3. Then in Section 4 we show our main results Theorem 15 and Theorem 16. Section 5 is devoted to establishing the large deviation results for (1.1). Some proofs of technique lemmas are given in the Appendix.

2 Preliminaries

Let us begin by introducing the setting for the stochastic differential systems we want to investigate. Consider as Brownian motion W is the d-dimensional coordinate process on the classical Wiener space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, i.e., Ω is the set of continuous functions from $[0,T]$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ starting from 0, $\Omega = C\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d,0\right)$, $\mathcal{F}$ the completed Borel σ-algebra over Ω, P the Wiener measure and W the canonical process: $W_s(\omega) = \omega_s$, $s \in [0,T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$. By $\{\mathcal{F}_s, 0 \le s < T\}$ we denote the natural filtration generated by $\{W_s\}_{0\le s<T}$ and augmented by all P-null sets, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\left\{W(r), r \le s\right\} \vee \mathcal{N}_p,\ s \in [0,T],$$

where $\mathcal{N}_p$ is the set of all P-null subsets. For each $t > 0$, we denote by $\{\mathcal{F}_s^t,\ t \le s \le T\}$ the natural filtration of the Brownian motion $\{W(s) - W(t),\ t \le s \le T\}$, augmented by the P-null set of $\mathcal{F}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^p)$ the set of all $\mathbb{R}^p$-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted process $v(\cdot)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |v(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] < +\infty,$$

and $\mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$, the set of all $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted process $v(\cdot)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\le s\le T} |v(s)|^2\right] < +\infty.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{N}_t = \mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{M}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^p)$ forms a Banach space.

The space $\mathcal{N}_t$ is a Banach space for the natural norm associated with the product topology structure.

We use the usual inner product and Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{R}^m$, and $\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$.

Definition 1. *A triple of processes*

$$\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) : [0,T]\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$$

is called an adapted solution of the Eqs. (1.1), if $(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}) \in \mathcal{N}_t$, *and it satisfies (1.1)* P*-a.s..*

It is clear that the above Eq. (1.1) is a stochastic two point boundary value problem. Especially, it contains deterministic two point boundary value problem as a special case when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

We are given an $m \times n$ full-rank matrix G. We use the notations

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^{\varepsilon}(t,u) = \begin{pmatrix} -G^T g \\ Gf \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon} G\sigma \end{pmatrix}(t,u).$$

We now give the first assumptions of our paper:

(A1) Let $m \ge n$. The processes $g(\cdot,x,y,z)$, $f(\cdot,x,y)$ and $\sigma(\cdot,x,y)$ are $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted, and the random variable $h(x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable, for all $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$. f, σ and g are continuous in t, P-a.s.. Moreover, the following holds:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|h\left(0\right)\right|^{2}\right] < +\infty.$$

There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that, $u^i = \left(x^i, y^i, z^i\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$, $i = 1$, 2,

$$\begin{array}{l}
\left|g\left(t,x^1,y^1,z^1\right) - g\left(t,x^2,y^2,z^2\right)\right| \leq C_1\left(\left|x^1-x^2\right| + \left|y^1-y^2\right| + \left|z^1-z^2\right|\right), \\
\left|f\left(t,x^1,y^1\right) - f\left(t,x^2,y^2\right)\right| \leq C_1\left(\left|x^1-x^2\right| + \left|y^1-y^2\right|\right), \\
\left|\sigma\left(t,x^1,y^1\right) - \sigma\left(t,x^2,y^2\right)\right| \leq C_1\left(\left|x^1-x^2\right| + \left|y^1-y^2\right|\right), \\
\left|h\left(x^1\right) - h\left(x^2\right)\right| \leq C_1\left|x^1-x^2\right|, \\
P\text{-a.s., a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.
\end{array}$$

(A2) There exists a constant $C_2 > 0$, such that for any fixed $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$,

$$\begin{array}{rl}
& \left\langle A^\varepsilon\left(t,u^1\right) - A^\varepsilon\left(t,u^2\right), u^1-u^2\right\rangle \\
\leq & -\left(C_2+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\left|G\left(x^1-x^2\right)\right|^2 + \left|G^T\left(y^1-y^2\right)\right|^2\right), \ P\text{-a.s., a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}^+,
\end{array}$$

and

$$\left\langle h\left(x^1\right) - h\left(x^2\right), x^1-x^2\right\rangle \geq C_2\left|G\left(x^1-x^2\right)\right|^2, \ P\text{-a.s.}, \forall\left(x^1,x^2\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We have the following:

Proposition 2. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold, then there exists a unique adapted solution* $\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right)$ *for Eqs. (1.1).*

The proof can be seen in Theorem 2.6, Remark 2.8 in [30].

Remark 3. *If* $m = n$, $G = I_n$. *If* $m < n$, *according to Theorem 2.6 in [30], the first condition of (A2) should be*

$$\begin{array}{rl}
& \left\langle A^\varepsilon\left(t,u^1\right) - A^\varepsilon\left(t,u^2\right), u^1-u^2\right\rangle \\
\leq & -\left(C_2+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\left|G\left(z^1-z^2\right)\right|^2 + \left|G^T\left(y^1-y^2\right)\right|^2\right), \ P\text{-a.s., a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.
\end{array}$$

However, if setting $x^1 = x^2$, $y^1 = y^2$, *there exists a contradiction, because* σ *does not contain* z.

From now on, let us suppose that

the coefficients f, σ, g and h are deterministic, i.e., independent of $\omega \in \Omega$.

Now consider

$$u^\varepsilon\left(t,x\right) = Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\left(t\right), \qquad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}, \ \varepsilon \in (0,1], \tag{2.1}$$

which is a deterministic vector since it is $\mathcal{F}_t^t$ measurable (*Blumenthal's 0-1 Law* -see remark 1.2 of [14]). In [28] it is shown that u is a viscosity solution of the associated quasilinear parabolic partial differential equation

$$\begin{cases}
\dfrac{\partial (u^\varepsilon)^l}{\partial t}(t,x) + \dfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))\dfrac{\partial^2 (u^\varepsilon)^l}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t,x) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^l(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))\dfrac{\partial (u^\varepsilon)^l}{\partial x_i}(t,x) \\
\quad + g^l(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(t,x)\sigma^\varepsilon(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))) = 0, \\
u^\varepsilon(T,x) = h(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \ t \in [0,T]; \ l = 1,...,n,
\end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $a_{i,j} = (\sigma\sigma^T)_{i,j}$.

We define below the notion of viscosity solution for the parabolic system of Partial Differential Equations (PDEs for short) (2.2). For each $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the following differential operator,

$$(L_l^\varepsilon \varphi)(t,x,y,z) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x,y)\frac{\partial^2 \varphi^l}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t,x) + < f(t,x,y), \nabla\varphi^l(t,x) >$$
$$l = 1,...,n,\ \forall \varphi \in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n),\ t\in[0,T],\ (x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}.$$

The space $C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of the functions $\phi : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, which are C^1 with respect to the first variable and C^2 with respect to the second variable.

The system (2.2) reads

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial (u^\varepsilon)^l}{\partial t} + (L_l^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x),\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(t,x)\sigma(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))) + \\ \qquad g^l(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(t,x)\sigma(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))) = 0, \\ u^\varepsilon(T,x) = h(x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ \ t\in[0,T],\ l=1,...,n. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 4 (**Viscosity solution**). *Let $u^\varepsilon \in C\big([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n\big)$. The function u^ε is said to be a viscosity sub-solution (resp. super-solution) of the system (2.2) if*

$$(u^\varepsilon)^l(T,x) \le h^l(x);\ \forall l=1,...,n;\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

(resp. $(u^\varepsilon)^l(T,x) \ge h^l(x);\ \forall l=1,...,n;\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n$)

and for each $l=1,...,n$, $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi\in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (t,x) is a local minimum (resp. maximum) point of $\varphi - u^\varepsilon$, we have

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,x) + (L_l^\varepsilon\varphi)(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla_x\varphi(t,x)\sigma(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))) +$$
$$g^l(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla_x\varphi(t,x)\sigma(t,x,u^\varepsilon(t,x))) \ge 0; l=1,...,n$$

(resp. ≤ 0).

The function u^ε is said to be a viscosity solution of the system (2.2) if u^ε is both a viscosity sub-solution and a viscosity super-solution of this system.

Under more restrictive assumptions (that we present in Section 3), we shall have

$$u^\varepsilon(t,x) = Y^{t,\varepsilon,x}(t) \tag{2.4}$$

and u^ε will be actually a classical solution of (2.2). This can be proved using the *Four Step Scheme Methodology* of *Ma-Protter-Young* [23] with the help of *Ladyzhenskaja's* work in quasilinear parabolic PDEs [20].

For the simplicity of notations, we only consider the case where both $X^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ and $Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ are n-dimensional, that is $m=n$, $G=I_n$. The result is analogous for the case $m>n$.

Next we introduce another set of assumptions which is slightly different from (A1)-(A2).

(A3) We say f, g, σ, and h satisfy *(A3)* if there exists two constants C_1, $\Lambda > 0$ such that : (**A1**) hold as well as:

(A.3.1) $\forall t\in[0,T]$, $\forall\,(x_1,y_1,z_1)$, $(x_2,y_2,z_2)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 - x_2, f(t,x_1,y_1) - f(t,x_2,y_1)\rangle &\le C_1\left|x_1 - x_2\right|^2, \\ \langle y_1 - y_2, f(t,x_1,y_1) - f(t,x,_1 y_2)\rangle &\le C_1\left|y_1 - y_2\right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

(A.3.2) $\forall\, t\in[0,T]$, $\forall\,(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(t,x,y)| &\leq \Lambda(1+|x|+|y|),\\
|g(t,x,y,z)| &\leq \Lambda(1+|x|+|y|+|z|),\\
|\sigma(t,x,y)| &\leq \Lambda(1+|x|+|y|),\\
|h(x)| &\leq \Lambda(1+|x|).
\end{aligned}$$

(A.3.3) $\forall\, t \in [0,T]$, $\forall\,(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
u &\mapsto f(t,u,y),\\
v &\mapsto g(t,x,v,z)\,\text{are continuous mappings.}
\end{aligned}$$

Under this set of hypothesis, *theorem 1.1* of [14] ensures that there exists a constant $C = C(L) > 0$, depending only on C_1, such that for every $T \leq C$ *(1.1)* admits a unique solution in $\mathcal{N}_t$.

Moreover, using *theorem 2.6* of [14] , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\forall\, s \in [t,T] : u^\varepsilon(s, X_s^{t,\xi}) = Y_s^{t,\xi}\right) = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu\big(\big\{(\omega,s) \in \Omega \times [t,T] : \big|Z_s^{t,\varepsilon,x}(\omega)\big| \geq \Gamma_1\big\}\big) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

where μ stands for the Lebesgue measure in the real line and Γ_1 is a constant which only depends on C_1, Λ, n, d, T.

By remark 2.7 of [14] we have that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, u^ε only depends on the coefficients of the system (2.1), $f, g, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma, h$. The fact that the dependence of $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1(C_1, \Lambda, n, T)$ determines that the properties (2.5), (2.6) above hold uniformly in ε; in particular, there exist continuous versions of $(Y_s^{t,\varepsilon,x}, Z_s^{t,\varepsilon,x})_{t\leq s\leq T}$ which are uniformly bounded, also in ε.

We now assume more regularity on the coefficients of (1.1) in the next set of assumptions.

(A4) For $T \leq C$, we say that f, g, h, σ satisfy (A4) if there exists three constants λ, Λ $\gamma > 0$

(A.4.1) $\forall\, t \in [0,T]$, $\forall\,(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(t,x,y)| &\leq \Lambda(1+|y|),\\
|g(t,x,y,z)| &\leq \Lambda\left(1+|y|+|z|\right),\\
|\sigma(t,x,y)| &\leq \Lambda,\\
|h(x)| &\leq \Lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

(A.4.2) $\forall (t,x,y) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} : \langle \xi, a(t,x,y)\xi\rangle \geq \lambda\,|\xi|^2$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $a(t,x,y) = \sigma\sigma^T(t,x,y)$.

(A.4.3) the function σ is continuous.

(A.4.4) The function σ is differentiable with respect to x and y and its derivatives with respect to x and y are γ- Hölder in x and y, uniformly in t.

Under the set of assumptions *(A.3)* and *(A.4)*, using *proposition 2.4* and *proposition B.6* of [14] and *theorem 2.9* of [15], one can prove that there exist two constants, κ, κ_1, only depending on L, Λ, n, T (independent of ε) such that :

$$|u^\varepsilon(t,x)| \leq \kappa, \tag{2.7}$$

$$u^\varepsilon \in C_b^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(t,x)| \leq \kappa_1 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$Z_s^{t,\varepsilon,x} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(t, X_s^{t,\varepsilon,x})\sigma(s, X_s^{t,\varepsilon}, Y_s^{t,\varepsilon,x}). \tag{2.10}$$

where u^ε solves uniquely (2.2) in $C_b^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of C^1 functions with respect to the first variable and C^2 with respect to the second variable, with bounded derivatives. All these facts can be proven probabilistically. The last claim and the properties (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10) are proved in [14]. Delarue delivers in the appendices of [14] probabilistic methods to obtain these regularity results, under assumptions (A.3) and (A.4) and over a small time enough duration, using *Malliavin* Calculus techniques. The estimate of the gradient (2.9) is established by a probabilistic scheme in [15], using a variant of the *Malliavin-Bismut* integration by parts formula proposed by Thalmaier [33] and applied in [34] to establish a gradient estimate of interior type for the solutions of a linear elliptic equation on a manifold.

To start with, let us fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$. We establish second order moment estimates for the solution of FBSDEs (1.1), which will be essential in Section 3. For convenience, we use the following notations in this paper:

$$\begin{cases} f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) & = & f\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right), \\ \sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) & = & \sigma\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right), \\ g^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) & = & g\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right), \\ h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T) & = & h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right), \\ & \vdots & \\ & \text{etc.} & \end{cases}$$

The follwing proof will be found in Appendix

Lemma 5. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. Then we have*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) - X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right] & \le & \mathcal{C}_1\left|x-y\right|^2, \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right] & \le & \mathcal{C}_1\left|x-y\right|^2, \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2 ds\right] & \le & \mathcal{C}_1\left|x-y\right|^2, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a positive constant independent of ε and t.

Lemma 6. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. Then we have*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] & \le & \mathcal{C}_2\left(1+\left|x\right|^2\right), \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] & \le & \mathcal{C}_2\left(1+\left|x\right|^2\right), \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2 ds\right] & \le & \mathcal{C}_2\left(1+\left|x\right|^2\right), \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_2$ is a positive constant independent of ε and t.

The following Lemma shows the continuity on t.

Lemma 7. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold, then we have.*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t_1\vee t_2\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2\right] & \leq & \mathcal{C}_3\left|t_1-t_2\right|\left(1+|x|^2\right),\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t_1\vee t_2\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2\right] & \leq & \mathcal{C}_3\left|t_1-t_2\right|\left(1+|x|^2\right),\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_1}^{T}\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2 ds\right] & \leq & \mathcal{C}_3\left|t_1-t_2\right|\left(1+|x|^2\right),\end{array}\right. \tag{2.13}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_4$ is a positive constant independent of ε.

Lemma 8. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. Pick $0<\varepsilon_2<\varepsilon_1<1$. Then we have*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] & \leq & \mathcal{C}_4\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right),\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] & \leq & \mathcal{C}_4\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right),\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2 ds\right] & \leq & \mathcal{C}_4\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right),\end{array}\right. \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_3$ is a positive constant independent of ε and t.

Now consider the following deterministic equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(s) & = & x+\int_t^s f\left(r,\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r),\mathcal{Y}^{t,x}(r),0\right)dr,\\ \mathcal{Y}^{t,x}(s) & = & h\left(\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(T)\right)+\int_s^T g\left(r,\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r),\mathcal{Y}^{t,x}(r),0\right)\mathrm{d}r.\end{array}\right. \tag{2.15}$$

By the regularity of f, g, h we have the following

Lemma 9. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold, then there exists a unique solution $(\mathcal{X}^{t,x},\mathcal{Y}^{t,x})$ for Eqs. (2.15).*

Clearly, the estimates in Lemma 8 shows that the triple of

$$\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right)_{s\in[t,T]}$$

are Cauchy sequences and therefore converge in

$$\left(\mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R})\times\mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R})\times\mathcal{M}^2\left(t,T;\mathbb{R}^d\right)\right).$$

Denote the limit by $\left(\mathcal{X}^{0,t,x}(s),\mathcal{Y}^{0,t,x}(s),0\right)_{s\in[t,T]}$, as $\varepsilon\to0$. By uniqueness and existence of Eqs. (2.15), we know that the limit $\left(\mathcal{X}^{0,t,x}(\cdot),\mathcal{Y}^{0,t,x}(\cdot),0\right)$ is the unique solution of Eqs. (2.15). Therefore, the conclusions in Lemma 5, Lemma 6, and Lemma 7 also hold when $\varepsilon\to0$.

3 Main Results

3.1 Convergence of distributions

In this subsection, we first study FBSDEs (1.1) with small noise intensity. To conclude this subsection we introduce the notions of pseudo-path topology and quasimartingales (Meyer-Zheng [21]), adjusted to our setting. For that, let us introduce the following notations.

Let $T>0$ be a real constant (the terminal time). For any natural number $l>0$, by $D\left(\mathbb{R}^l\right)\doteq D\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^l\right)$ we denote the Skorohod space of right continuous with left-hand limits functions x on $[0,T]$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^l$ such that

$$x\left(T-\right)\doteq\lim_{t\uparrow T}x\left(t\right)=x\left(T\right),$$

and by convention, $x\left(0-\right)=0$. Additionally, we introduce the following metric ρ on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$:

$$\rho\left(x,y\right)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|x\left(s\right)-y\left(s\right)\right|\wedge1\right)\mathrm{d}s,\quad x,y\in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right).$$

The topology induced by this metric is the Meyer-Zheng topology introduced below on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Let ζ be the coordinate mapping on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ defined by

$$\zeta_{t}\left(x\right)=x\left(t\right),\quad t\in\left[0,T\right],\quad x\in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),$$

and introduce the σ-algebras of subsets of $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{s}^{t}\doteq\mathcal{D}_{s}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\doteq\sigma\left(\left\{\zeta_{u}:u\in\left[t,s\right]\right\}\right),\ 0\leq t\leq s\leq T,$$

$$\mathcal{D}\doteq\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\doteq\mathcal{D}_{T}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right).$$

(Meyer-Zheng topology) Let $\lambda\left(\mathrm{d}t\right)$ the measure $e^{-t}\mathrm{d}t$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Let $w\left(t\right)$ be a real valued Borel function on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. The pseudo-path of w is a probability law on $\left[0,\infty\right]\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{l}$: the image measure of λ under the mapping $t\rightarrow\left(t,w\left(t\right)\right)$. We denote by ψ the mapping which associates to a path w its pseudo-path: it is clear that ψ identifies two paths if and only if they are equal a.e. in Lebesgue's sense. In particular, ψ is $1-1$ on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$, and provides an imbedding of $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ into the compact space $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ of all probability laws on the compact space $\left[0,\infty\right]\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{l}$. We give to the induced topology on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ the name of pseudo-path topology or Meyer-Zheng topology. Let us introduce some intermediate sets between $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$: Ψ will be the set of all pseudo-path. We have inclusions

$$D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\subset\Psi\subset\overline{\mathcal{P}}.$$

The following characterization of the Meyer-Zheng topology is worth noting.

Lemma 10. *The pseudo-path topology on Ψ is equivalent to the convergence in measure.*

Furthermore, it is known that Ψ is a Polish space; and $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ is a Borel set in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$.

Lemma 11. *Let $\mathcal{B}\left(D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)$ be the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ in the Meyer-Zheng topology. Then $\mathcal{B}\left(D\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)=\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$.*

The proof can seen in [21]. The most important application of the Meyer-Zheng topology is a tightness result for quasimartingales. We give the definition here

Definition 12. *Let X be an $\mathcal{F}$-adapted, cadlag process defined on $\left[0,T\right]$, such that $\mathbb{E}\left|X\left(t\right)\right|<\infty$ for all $t\geq0$. For any partition $\pi:0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}\leq T$, let us define*

$$V_{T}^{\pi}\left(X\right):=\sum_{0\leq i<n}\mathbb{E}\left\{\left|\mathbb{E}\left\{\left.X\left(t_{i+1}\right)-X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|\mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right\}\right|\right\}+\mathbb{E}\left|X\left(t_{n}\right)\right|,\tag{3.1.1}$$

and define the conditional variation of X by $V_{T}\left(X\right):=\sup_{\pi}V_{T}^{\pi}\left(X\right)$. If $V_{T}\left(X\right)$ is finite, then X is called a quasi-martingale.

The following result holds.

Proposition 13. *Let P_n be a sequence of probability laws on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^l\right)$, such that under each P_n the coordinate process $X(\cdot)$ is a quasimartingale with conditional variation $V_n(X(\cdot))$ uniformly bounded in n. Then there exists a subsequence (P_{n_k}) which converges weakly on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^l\right)$ to a law P, and $X(\cdot)^1$ is a quasimartingale under P.*

The proof can be seen in [21].
Now we turn back to Eqs. (1.1). Define

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} u^{\varepsilon}(t,x) & = & Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t), \\ v^{\varepsilon}(t,x) & = & Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t), \end{array}\right. \quad \text{for } (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.1.2}$$

From the existence and uniqueness of solution for Eq. (1.1), we have the following Markov property

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lclcl} u^{\varepsilon}(s,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)) & = & Y^{\varepsilon,s,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)}(s) & = & Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) \\ v^{\varepsilon}(s,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)) & = & Z^{\varepsilon,s,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)}(s) & = & Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) \end{array}\right. \quad \text{a.e., a.e. } s\in[t,T]. \tag{3.1.3}$$

In Lemma 5, we have already seen that the function u^{ε} is Lipschitz continuous in x, uniformly in t. With the help of Lemma 7 we also know the continuity property for u^{ε} in t. We now set

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} f^{\varepsilon}(s,x) & = & f(s,x,u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)), \\ \sigma^{\varepsilon}(s,x) & = & \sigma(s,x,u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)), \\ g^{\varepsilon}(s,x) & = & g(s,x,u^{\varepsilon}(s,x),v^{\varepsilon}(s,x)). \end{array}\right. \tag{3.1.4}$$

We study the properties of f^{ε}, σ^{ε}, f^0, and σ^0 as follows:

Lemma 14. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. Then f^{ε}, σ^{ε} f^0, and σ^0 satisfy uniformly Lipschitz continuous and f^{ε}, σ^{ε} converge uniformly f^0, σ^0, respectively. Moreover, f^{ε}, σ^{ε}, f^0 and σ^0 satisfy sublinear growth.*

Proof. For any x, $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $s\in[t,T]$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left|f^{\varepsilon}(s,x)-f^{\varepsilon}(s,y)\right| & = & \left|f(s,x,u^{\varepsilon}(s,x))-f(s,y,u^{\varepsilon}(s,y))\right| \\ & \leq & C_1\left(|x-y|+\left|u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)-u^{\varepsilon}(s,y)\right|\right) \\ & = & C_1|x-y|+C_1\mathcal{C}_1|x-y| \\ & \leq & \max\{C_1,\mathcal{C}_1C_1\}|x-y|, \end{array}$$

by Lemma 5 and (A1). The same properties for σ^{ε} f^0, and f^0 are proved similarly. Next, we show the uniform convergence,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left|f^{\varepsilon}(s,x)-f^0(s,x)\right| & = & \left|f(s,x,u^{\varepsilon}(s,x))-f\left(s,x,u^0(s,x)\right)\right| \\ & \leq & C_1\left|u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)-u^0(s,x)\right|\leq C_1\mathcal{C}_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \end{array}$$

by Lemma 8 and (A1). Once again, the same properties for σ^{ε} are proved similarly. We are going to prove b^{ε}

---

[1] Note that the quasimartingale in [21] is defined on $[0,+\infty]$. However, it is fairly easy to check that if X is a quasimartingale on $[0,T]$ as is defined above, then the process $X(t)=X(t)\mathbf{I}_{[0,T)}(t)+X(T)\mathbf{I}_{[T,+\infty)}(t)$, $t\in[0,+\infty]$ is a quasimartingale in the sense of [21]. Furthermore, the conditional variation $V_T(X)$ defined here, is exactly the same as $V(X)$ defined in [21]. In other words, our quasimartingale is a "local" version of the one in [21].

satisfies sublinear growth. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left|f^{\varepsilon}(s,x)-f(s,0,0)\right| & = & \left|f\left(s,x,u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)\right)-f(s,0,0)\right| \\ & \leq & C_1\left(|x|+\left|u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)\right|\right) \\ & \leq & C_1\left(|x|+\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2}\left(1+|x|\right)\right) \\ & = & \left(C_1+C_1\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2}\right)|x|+C_1\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2}. \end{array}$$

The assumption (A1) yields

$$\left|f^{\varepsilon}(s,x)\right| \leq \left(C_1+C_1\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2}\right)|x|+C_1\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2}+\sup_{0\leq r\leq T}\left|f(r,0,0)\right|.$$

Once again, the same properties for σ^{ε}, f^0, σ^0 are proved similarly. ☐

Then it is easy to check that $\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot),Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot)\right)$ solves the following decoupled FBSDEs

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & x+\int_t^s f^{\varepsilon}\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s\sigma^{\varepsilon}\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)+\int_s^T g^{\varepsilon}\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r-\int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ & & 0\leq t\leq s\leq T. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.1.5}$$

From now on, we are concern on the phenomenon about the laws of $\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x},Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right)$ when $\varepsilon\to 0$.

Theorem 15. *Under the assumptions (A1) and (A2), we can conclude the following results:*
i) For all $\delta>0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}P\left\{\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(s)\right|>\delta\right\}=0. \tag{3.1.6}$$

ii) Let $Q^{\varepsilon}=P\left(\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot)\right)^{-1}\right)$ *be the probability measure on* $D\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. *Then there exists a subsequences* Q^{ε_n} *of* Q^{ε} *and a probability law* Q *on* $D\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *such that* Q^{ε_n} *converges weakly in the Meyer-Zheng topology to* Q *as* $n\to+\infty$.

Proof. It follows from the definition of $X^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{t,x}$ that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(s)\right| & \leq & \int_t^s\left|f^{\varepsilon}\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)-f^0\left(r,\mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right)\right|\mathrm{d}r \\ & & +\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|\int_t^s\sigma\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}W(r)\right|. \end{array} \tag{3.1.7}$$

From Chebyshev's inequality and the first assertion of the Lemma 14 we obtained an estimate of the first term of the right side of (3.1.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left\{\int_t^s \left|f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) - f^0\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right)\right| \mathrm{d}r > \tfrac{\delta}{2}\right\} \\
&\le 4\delta^{-2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_t^s \left|f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) - f^0\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right)\right| \mathrm{d}r\right|^2\right] \\
&\le 4\delta^{-2}T\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^s \left|f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) - f^0\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&= 4\delta^{-2}T\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^s \left|f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) - f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right) + f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right) - f^0\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le 8\delta^{-2}T\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) - f^{\varepsilon}\left(r, \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right)\right|^2 + T\mathcal{C}_3\varepsilon\right] \\
&\le 8\delta^{-2}T\left(\max\left\{C_1, \mathcal{C}_1C_1\right\}\right)^2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) - \mathcal{X}^{t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r + T\left(C_1\mathcal{C}_3\right)^2\varepsilon\right] \\
&\le 8\delta^{-2}T\left(\max\left\{C_1, \mathcal{C}_1C_1\right\}\right)^2 T\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{C}_3\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \left(C_1\mathcal{C}_3\right)^2\varepsilon\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.8}$$

The estimation of the second term in (3.1.7) can be accomplished with the use of the generalized Kolmogorov inequality for stochastic integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left\{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|\int_t^s \sigma^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}W(r)\right| > \tfrac{\delta}{2}\right\} \\
&\le 4\delta^{-2}\varepsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&= 4\delta^{-2}\varepsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|\sigma\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) - \sigma(r,0,0) + \sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le 4\delta^{-2}\varepsilon\mathbb{E}\left[4C_1^2\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + 2\left|\sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le 4\delta^{-2}\varepsilon\left[8TC_1^2\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right) + \int_0^T 2\left|\sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.9}$$

by Lemma 6. Estimates (3.1.8)-(3.1.9) imply the assertion of the theorem (i).

We are going to prove the second one. First, we establish the connection of solution of BSDE (3.1.5) to quasimartingales. Given a subdivision $\pi : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots t_n = T$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
V_T^{\pi}\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) &\doteq \mathbb{E}\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right| + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t_{k+1}) - Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t_k)\right|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right|\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right| + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r\right|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right|\right] \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right| + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T g\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right) - h(0) + h(0)\right| \\
&\quad +\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|g^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) - g(r,0,0,0) + g(r,0,0,0)\right|\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le C_1\mathbb{E}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right| + C_1\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right| + \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right| + \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\quad + \int_t^T \left|g(r,0,0,0)\right|\mathrm{d}r + h(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.10}$$

By Jensen's inequality, it follows from Lemma 6

$$\mathbb{E}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right| \le \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right| + \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right| + \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|\right) \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\leq \sqrt{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_t^T \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\leq \sqrt{T}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\leq 3\sqrt{T}\left(T\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality and Jensen's inequality for concave function. So we have

$$V_T^\pi\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) \leq C_1\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right)} + 3\sqrt{T}\left(\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(0) + T\sup_{0\leq r\leq T}|g(r,0,0,0)|.$$

Hence, by (A1), noting that $V_T(X)\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) = \sup_\pi V_T^\pi\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) < +\infty$, the result follows.

Now since $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a separable metric space, there exists a compact metric space K such that $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subset of K. Note that $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Lusin space: for every embedding in a compact metric space K, $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Borel set in K: $D(\mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{B}(K)$. On the compact metric space K we define

$$\widetilde{Q}^\varepsilon(A) = Q^\varepsilon(A \cap D(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(K).$$

Clearly, $A \cap D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(D(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the last equality being true in view of Lemma 11. The set of probability measure on the compact metric space K is compact in the weak convergence. Hence, we can choose a subsequence also denoted $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and a probability measure $\widetilde{Q}$ on K such that

$$\widetilde{Q}^{\varepsilon_n} \xrightarrow{w} \widetilde{Q} \text{ on } K.$$

We now show that

$$\widetilde{Q}(D(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 1.$$

We notice that $\widetilde{Q}^\varepsilon$ is the distribution of $Y^{x,\varepsilon}$ considered as a random variable with values in $(K, \mathcal{B}(K))$. Furthermore, by Proposition 13, we know that, possibly along a subsequence, $\widetilde{Q}^{\varepsilon_n}$ converges weakly to a probability law $Q^* \in \mathcal{M}(D(\mathbb{R}^n))$. The uniqueness of the weak limit implies that

$$Q^*(A) = \widetilde{Q}(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(D(\mathbb{R}^n)), \tag{3.1.11}$$

In particular,

$$1 = Q^*(D(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \widetilde{Q}(D(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

The proof is complete. □

3.2 Almost sure convergence

Considering assumptions (A3)-(A4), we have the following

Theorem 16. *Under the assumptions (A3), and (A4), assuming further $T \leq C$, where $C = C(C_1, T)$ depending on the Lipschitz constant C_1 and on T, we have*

1. For each $s, t \in [0,T]$, $t \leq s$, the solution of (2.1), $\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s), Y^{\varepsilon,t,,x}(s), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right)_{t\leq s\leq T}$ converges in $\mathcal{N}_t$, when

$\varepsilon \to 0$ to $(X(s), Y(s), 0)_{t\leq s\leq T}$, where $(X(s), Y(s))_{t\leq s\leq T}$ solves the coupled system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(s) = f(s, X(s), Y(s)), \\ \dot{Y}(s)_s = -g(s, X(s), Y(s), 0),\ t \leq s \leq T, \\ X(t) = x,\ Y(T) = h(X(T)). \end{cases} \tag{3.2.1}$$

2. Denoting $u(t,x) = Y^{t,x}(t)$, the limit in $\varepsilon \to 0$ of $Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)$, the function u is a viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u^l}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(t,x,u(t,x)) \dfrac{\partial u^l}{\partial x_i}(t,x) + g^l(t,x,u(t,x),0) = 0, \\ u^\varepsilon(t,x) = h(x);\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d;\ t \in [0,T];\ l = 1,...,n. \end{cases} \tag{3.2.2}$$

3. The function u is bounded, continuous Lipschitz in x and uniformly continuous in time.
4. Furthermore, if $u \in C_b^{1,1}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, since (3.2.1) has a unique continuous solution, the function u is a classical solution of (3.2.2).

Proof. Given $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for $T \leq C$, if $(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})_{t\leq s\leq T}$ is the unique solution in $\mathcal{N}_t$ of

$$\begin{cases} X_s^{t,\varepsilon,x} = x + \int_t^s f(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}r + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s \sigma(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} = h(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)) + \int_s^T g(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}r - \int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d;\ \ 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases} \tag{3.2.3}$$

and $(X_s^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}, Y_s^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}, Z_s^{\varepsilon_1,t,x})_{t\leq s\leq T}$ is the unique solution in $\mathcal{N}_t$ of

$$\begin{cases} X_s^{\varepsilon_1,t,x} = x + \int_t^s f(r, X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x})\mathrm{d}r + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\int_t^s \sigma(r, X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ Y_s^{\varepsilon_1,t,x} = h(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)) + \int_s^T g(r, X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}r - \int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d;\ \ 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{3.2.4}$$

Write, for sake of simplicity on the notations, with $\delta = \varepsilon,\ \varepsilon_1$

$$\begin{aligned} f^\delta(s) &= f(s, X^{\delta,t,x}(s), Y^{\delta,t,x}(s)), \\ g^\delta(s) &= g(s, X^{\delta,t,x}(s), Y^{\delta,t,x}(s), Z^{\delta,t,x}(s)), \\ \sigma^\delta(s) &= \sqrt{\delta}\sigma(s, X^{\delta,t,x}(s), Y^{\delta,t,x}(s)), \\ h^\delta &= h(X^{\delta,t,x}(T)). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of *theorem 1.2* of [14], using *Itô's Formula*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T} \left|X^\varepsilon(s) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right] \leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|\sigma^\varepsilon(s) - \sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \\ & +2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\int_t^s \langle X^\varepsilon(r) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(r), f^\varepsilon(r) - f^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}r\right] \\ & +2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\int_t^s \langle X^\varepsilon(r) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(r), (\sigma^\varepsilon(r) - \sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(r))\mathrm{d}W(r)\rangle\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.5}$$

Using *Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequalities*, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}(s)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\right]\\ &+2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\int_t^s\left\langle X^{\varepsilon}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(r),f^{\varepsilon}(r)-f^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right\rangle\mathrm{d}r\right]\\ &+2\gamma\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|X^{\varepsilon}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ only depends on the Lipschitz constant C_1 and T. Using the Lipschitz property (A.1) , there exists $\gamma > 0$, eventually different, but only dependent on C_1, T such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right] &\leq \gamma\Big\{\mathbb{E}\int_t^T(\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\ &+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}(s)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s+2\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2\\ &+2\sqrt{T}\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.7}$$

Then, assuming that $1-2\gamma\sqrt{T}\geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}(1-2\gamma\sqrt{T})\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right] &\leq \gamma\Big\{\mathbb{E}\int_t^T(\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\ &+2\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}(s)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.8}$$

Using estimates as before, we get for some new $\gamma \geq 0$ eventually:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\right]\\ \leq\;&\gamma\Big[\mathbb{E}\left|h^{\varepsilon}-h^{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2+\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\int_t^T\left\langle Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s),g^{\varepsilon}(s)-g^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right\rangle\mathrm{d}s\Big)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2.7) and the assumption (A.3) modifying γ eventually:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\right]\\
\le\;&\gamma\Big\{\mathbb{E}\left|h^{\varepsilon}-h^{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&+\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_t^T(|f^{\varepsilon}(s)-f^{\varepsilon_1}(s)|+|g^{\varepsilon}(s)-g^{\varepsilon_1}(s)|)\mathrm{d}s\Big)^2\\
&+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}(s)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s+\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2\\
&+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|(\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|+\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|)\mathrm{d}s\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So, there exist $C^* \le C$ and Γ, only depending on C_1 and γ_1, such that, for $T-t\le C^*$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\right]\\
\le\;&\gamma\Big\{\mathbb{E}\left|h^{\varepsilon}-h^{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon}(s)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s+\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2\\
&+\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_t^T\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|+\left|g^{\varepsilon}(s)-g^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|\mathrm{d}s\Big)^2\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2.9}
$$

We can repeat the argument in $[T-2C^*;T-C^*]$ and recurrently we get this important a-priori estimate (3.2.9) valid for all $[t,T]$, with some (possibly different) constant $\gamma>0$ only depending on C_1.

Now, from (A.3), the following estimate holds:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|h^{\varepsilon}-h^{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2\right]\le L^2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right]. \tag{3.2.10}
$$

One can also derive the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\Big(\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma^{\varepsilon}(s)-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\sigma^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\Big)\mathrm{d}s\right]\\
\le\;&c_1\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2+c_2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\big(\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\big)\mathrm{d}s\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2.11}
$$

where $c_1,c_2>0$ only depending on T,L and on the bound of $|\sigma|$.

Furthermore, using *Jensen's inequality* and the Lipschitz property of g, for some c_3 modified eventually along the various steps,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right| + \left|g^{\varepsilon}(s) - g^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right| \mathrm{d}s\right]^2 \\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \left|g^{\varepsilon}(s) - g^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + 2\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|\left|g^{\varepsilon}(s) - g^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right| \mathrm{d}s \\
\leq\ & c_3\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon}(s) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\Big] \\
\leq\ & c_3\Big\{\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.12}$$

Using (2.10) and the boundedness of $|\sigma|$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and

$$\lim_{|\varepsilon-\varepsilon_1|\to 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] = 0. \tag{3.2.13}$$

Furthermore, by *Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequalities*, there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$, eventually new, such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \\
\leq\ & \gamma\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon}(s) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \\
\leq\ & \gamma\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(r) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2 + \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(r) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2 + \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(r) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.14}$$

Moreover, for some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$, using (3.2.10)- (3.2.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(s) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 + \int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \\
\leq\ & \gamma_1\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2 + \gamma_2\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\int_t^T \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(r) - X^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2 \\
& + \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(r) - Y^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2 + \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(r) - Z^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s\Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.15}$$

Using *Gronwall's inequality*,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|X^{\varepsilon}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right|^2+\sup_{t\leq r\leq s}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\quad & C_2\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right|^2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s\right]\to 0.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.16}$$

for some $C_2>0$ only depending on C_1 (independent of ε), since $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Z^{\varepsilon}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\right|^2\right]$ is bounded, by the results (2.9) and (2.10) .

We conclude, by the previous estimates, that the pair $\left(X^{t,\varepsilon}(s),Y^{t,\varepsilon}(s)\right)_{t\leq s\leq T}$ form a Cauchy sequence and therefore converges in $\mathcal{S}^2\left(t,T;\mathbb{R}^d\right)\times\mathcal{S}^2\left(t,T;\mathbb{R}^k\right)$.

Denote $\left(X(s),Y(s)\right)_{t\leq s\leq T}$ its limit. $\left(X(s),Y(s),0\right)_{t\leq s\leq T}$ is the limit in $\mathcal{N}_t$ of $\left(X^{t,\varepsilon}(s),Y^{t,\varepsilon}(s),Z^{t,\varepsilon,x}(s)\right)_{t\leq s\leq T}$ when $\varepsilon\to 0$.

If we consider the forward equation in (1.1) and if we take the limit pointwise when $\varepsilon\to 0$, we have

$$X^t(s)=x+\int_t^s f(r,X(r),Y(r))\mathrm{d}r,\tag{3.2.17}$$

where we have used the boundedness of σ and the continuity of f.

Similarly, we can take the limit on the backward equation when $\varepsilon\to 0$. Using the continuity of the functions h,g and

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int_s^T Z^{t,\varepsilon}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r)\right|^2=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T\left|Z^{t,\varepsilon}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon\to 0,$$

which implies $\int_s^T Z^{t,\varepsilon}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r)\to 0$, *a.s* $\mathbb{P}$, we have

$$Y_s^t=h(X(T))+\int_s^T g(r,X(r),Y(r),0)\mathrm{d}r\tag{3.2.18}$$

In conclusion, $\left(X(s),Y(s)\right)_{t\leq s\leq T}$ solves the following deterministic problem of ordinary (coupled) differential equations, almost surely,

$$\begin{cases}\dot{X}(s)=f(s,X(s),Y(s)),\\ \dot{Y}(s)=-g(s,X(s),Y(s),0),\ t\leq s\leq T,\\ X(t)=x,Y(T)=h(X(T)).\end{cases}\tag{3.2.19}$$

Given $t,t'\in[0,T]$, $x,x'\in\mathbb{R}^n$, consider $(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x},Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x},Z_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})_{t\leq s\leq T}$ the unique solution in $\mathcal{N}_t$

$$\begin{cases}X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)=x+\int_t^s f(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}r+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s\sigma(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Y^{t,\varepsilon}(r))\mathrm{d}W(r),\\ Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)=h(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T))+\int_s^T g(r,X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r),Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r))\mathrm{d}r-\int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r),\\ t\leq s\leq T,\end{cases}\tag{3.2.20}$$

extended to the whole interval $[0,T]$, putting

$$\forall\ 0\leq s\leq t\ \ X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)=x;\ Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)=Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\,;\ Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)=0,\tag{3.2.21}$$

and consider $(X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s), Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s), Z^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s))_{t'\leq s\leq T}$ the unique solution in $\mathcal{N}_{t'}$

$$\begin{cases} X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s) = x' + \int_{t'}^{s} f(r, X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r))\mathrm{d}r + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_{t'}^{s} \sigma(r, X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r))\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s) = h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(T)\right) + \int_{s}^{T} g(r, X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r))\mathrm{d}r - \int_{s}^{T} Z^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(r)\,\mathrm{d}W(r), \\ t' \leq s \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{3.2.22}$$

extended to the whole interval $[0,T]$, putting

$$\forall 0 \leq s \leq t' \quad X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s) = x; \; Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s) = Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(t'); \; Z^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s) = 0 \tag{3.2.23}$$

Using the estimate (3.2.9), such as in the *corollary 1.4* of [14], we are lead to

$$\begin{gathered} \mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon t,x}(s) - X^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s)\right|^2 + \sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon t,x}(s) - Y^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s)\right|^2 \\ + \int_0^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) - Z^{\varepsilon,t',x'}(s)\right|^2\Big] \leq \alpha\left|x - x'\right|^2 + \beta(1 + |x|^2)\left|t - t'\right|^2, \end{gathered} \tag{3.2.24}$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are constants only depending on C_1, Λ.

Finally,

$$\left|u^{\varepsilon}(t,x) - u^{\varepsilon}(t',x')\right|^2 \leq \alpha\left|x - x'\right|^2 + \beta(1 + |x|^2)\left|t - t'\right|^2 \tag{3.2.25}$$

which proves that $(u^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ is a family of equicontinuous maps on every compact set of $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$. We can apply *Arzela's Ascoli Theorem* and conclude that the convergence of u^{ε} to u, where $u(t,x) = Y_t^{t,x}$, is uniform in $[0,T]\times K$, for every K compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Taking the limit in $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.2.25) we get

$$\left|u(t',x') - u(t,x)\right|^2 \leq \alpha\left|x - x'\right|^2 + \beta(1 + |x|^2)\left|t - t'\right|^2 \tag{3.2.26}$$

for all $(t,x), (t',x') \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$, which proves that the function u, limit in $\varepsilon \to 0$, is Lipschitz continuous in x and uniformly continuous in t. The boundedness of u is given by (2.7), since this bound is uniform in ε. Using *theorem 5.1* of [28] we deduce that u^{ε} is a viscosity solution in $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ of (2.2).

Since the coefficients of the quasilinear parabolic system are Lipschitz continuous, by the property of the compact uniform convergence of viscosity solutions for quasilinear parabolic equations (see [9] for details), we conclude that u is a viscosity solution of (3.2.2).
Moreover, let $v : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C_b^{1,1}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^n)$ solution, continuous Lipschitz in x and uniformly continuous in t for (3.2.2). Fixing $(t,x) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$, we take the following function:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \quad &: \quad [t,T] \to \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \psi(s) \quad &: \quad = v(s, X^{t,x}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Computing its time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}s}(s) &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(s, X^{t,x}(s)) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(s, X^{t,x}(s)) \frac{\partial (X^{t,x}(s))}{\partial t} \\
&= \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(s, X^{t,x}(s)) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(s, X^{t,x}(s)) f(s, X^{t,x}(s), Y^{t,x}(s)) \\
&= -g(s, X^{t,x}(s), v(s, X^{t,x}(s)), 0). \\
\psi(T) &= v(T, X^{t,x}(T)) = h(x).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $v(t,x) = v(t, X^{t,x}(t)) = u(t,x)$, under the hypothesis of uniqueness of solution for the system of ordinary differential equations (3.2.1). So, under these hypothesis, we have a uniqueness property of solution for (3.2.2) in the class of $C_b^{1,1}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, which are Lipschitz continuous in x and uniformly continuous in t. ☐

Remark 17. *The result presented above (theorem 16) is stated under the assumptions (A.3) and (A.4), which ensure existence and uniqueness of solution of (3.2.3) for a local time $T \leq C$, where C is a certain constant only depending on the Lipschitz constant C_1. Under these assumptions, our results and the existence and uniqueness of solution for the FBSDEs ([14], [23]) do not depend on results for PDE's but only on probabilistic arguments. However, it is possible to extend theorem 16 to global time. In order to do it and to prove the important properties in [14], results of deterministic quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations [20] are used. If we maintain the assumption of smoothness on the coefficients of the FBSDEs (A.3) and (A.4), the Four Step Scheme Methodology ensures existence and uniqueness of solution for (1.1), and if we remove this requirement of smoothness on the coefficients of the system (1.1), a regularization argument in [14] is used in order to prove it. In this setting, we can also conclude the claims (2) and (3) of theorem 16.*

4 Large deviation principle

In this section, we study the Freidlin-Wentzell's large deviation principle for the laws of the family of processes

$$\left\{ \left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot) \right) \right\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]} \in \mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}^2(t,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

To begin, let us recall the following definitions mainly from [12].

Definition 18. *If E is a complete separable metric space, then a function $\mathcal{I}$ defined on E is called a rate function if it has the following properties:*

$$\begin{cases} \text{(a)} & \mathcal{I} : E \to [0, +\infty], \ \mathcal{I} \text{ is lower semicontinuous.} \\ \text{(b)} & \text{If } 0 \leq a \leq \infty, \text{ then } C_{\mathcal{I}}(a) = \{x \in E : I(x) \leq a\} \text{ is compact.} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Definition 19 (Large deviation principle). *If E is a complete separable metric space, $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel σ-field on E, $\{\mu_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a family of probability measures on $(E, \mathcal{B})$, and $\mathcal{I}$ is a function defined on E and satisfying (4.1), then we say that $\{\mu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with rate $\mathcal{I}$ if:*

$$\begin{cases} \text{(a)} & \text{For every open subset } A \text{ of } E, \\ & \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \log \mu_\varepsilon(A) \geq - \inf_{g \in A} \mathcal{I}(g). \\ \text{(b)} & \text{For every closed subset } A \text{ of } E, \\ & \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \log \mu_\varepsilon(A) \leq - \inf_{g \in A} \mathcal{I}(g), \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

here the infimum over the empty set is defined to be $+\infty$.

For our purpose recall the following results from [1, 3, 4] and suppose that f and σ are independent of t.

Proposition 20. *Consider*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lllll} f^0 & : & \mathbb{R}^n & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \sigma^0 & : & \mathbb{R}^n & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.3}$$

Assume that f^0, σ^0 satisfy Lipschitz continuous functions, with sublinear growth.
Consider

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lllll} f^\varepsilon & : & \mathbb{R}^n & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \sigma^\varepsilon & : & \mathbb{R}^n & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.4}$$

Assume that f^ε, σ^ε are uniformly Lipschitz continuous, have sublinear growth and converge uniformly to $f := f^0$, $\sigma := \sigma^0$, respectively. Then, the family $\{X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot) : 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$ of random variables of the solutions of the following perturbed stochastic differential equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} dX^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) & = & f^\varepsilon\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)dr + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma^\varepsilon\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)dW(r), \\ X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t) & = & x, \end{array}\right. \tag{4.5}$$

obey a large deviations principle in $C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of the continuous functions $f : [t,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, with the good rate function $\mathcal{I}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_1(t)} : \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1(t),\ \phi^{'}(s) = f(\phi(s)) + \sigma(\phi(s))\varphi'(s)\right\}.$$

Moreover, the level sets of $\mathcal{I}$ are compact and for every Borel subset A of $C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$-\inf_{g\in\mathring{A}}\mathcal{I}(g) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon\rightarrow 0}\varepsilon\log P\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}\in A\right) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon\rightarrow 0}\varepsilon\log P\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}\in A\right) \leq -\inf_{g\in\bar{A}}\mathcal{I}(g).$$

The proof can be seen in [4, 5]. Next we introduce a very important result in Large deviation theory, to transfer a LDP from one topology space to another one.

Lemma 21 (Contraction Principle Theorem 4.2.23 Page 133 in [12]). *Let $\{\mu_\epsilon\}$ be a family of probability measures that satisfies the Large Deviation Principle with a good rate function I on a Hausdorff topological space $\mathcal{S}$, and for $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, let f_ε:$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be continuous functions, with $(\mathcal{Q}, d)$ a metric space. Assume that there exists a measurable map $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that for every $\alpha < +\infty$,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\rightarrow 0}\sup_{\{x:I(x)\leq\alpha\}} d\left(f_\varepsilon(x), f(x)\right) = 0.$$

Then the family of probability measures $\left\{\mu_\epsilon \circ f_\varepsilon^{-1}\right\}$ satisfy the LDP in $\mathcal{Q}$ with the good rate function

$$I^{'}(y) = \inf\left\{I(x) : y = f(x)\right\}.$$

For our purposes, we give the following

Definition 22. *Let $t \in [0,T]$. We define the mapping $F^\varepsilon : C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$ by*

$$F^\varepsilon(\psi) = \left[s \rightarrow u^\varepsilon(s, \psi(s))\right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T,\ \psi \in C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{4.6}$$

where u^ε is given by (2.1).

As we have seen before

$$Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t) = F^{\varepsilon}\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right)(t).$$

From now on, for $\varepsilon = 0$, u and F stand for u^0 and F^0.
In order to prove the uniform convergence of the mapping F^{ε}, we need the following formula

$$\|F^{\varepsilon}(\varphi) - F(\varphi)\| = \sup_{ts\in[t,T]} |u^{\varepsilon}(s,\varphi(s)) - u(s,\varphi(s))|, \quad \varphi \in C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$$

or

$$\|F^{\varepsilon}(\varphi) - F(\varphi)\| = \sup_{s\in[t,T]} \left|Y^{\varepsilon,s,\varphi(s)}(s) - \mathcal{Y}^{s,\varphi(s)}(s)\right|.$$

We have the following:

Theorem 23. *Assume (A1) and (A2) hold. Then,*
i) The family $(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot))_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]}$ *satisfy, as* ε *goes to* 0*, a large deviation principle with a rate function*

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\phi) = \inf_{\left\{\varphi\in\mathcal{H}_1(t):\ \phi'(s)=f(\phi(s),u(s,\phi(s)))+\sigma(\phi(s),u(s,\phi(s)))\varphi'(s),\ s\in[t,T]\right\}} \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_1(t)},$$

for $g \in C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$.
ii) The family $(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot))_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]}$ *satisfy, as* ε *goes to* 0*, a large deviation principle with a rate function*

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\phi) = \inf\{\mathcal{I}_1(\varphi) : F(\varphi)(s) = \phi(s) = u(s,\varphi(s)),\ s\in[t,T]\}.$$

Proof. Indeed, noting (A1) and Lemma 14, the first assertion has been obtained in Proposition 20. We are going to prove the second assertion. By virtue of the contraction principle Lemma 21, we just need to show that F^{ε}, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ are continuous and $\{F^{\varepsilon}\}$ converges uniformly to F on every compact of $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$, as ε tends to zero. Proving *the continuity of* F^{ε}*:*

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in C([t,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $C([t,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$ converging to x in the uniform norm. Fix $\delta > 0$. Since $\|x_n - x\|_{\infty} \to 0$, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|x\|_{\infty}$, $\|x_n\|_{\infty} \leq M$.

Due to Lemma 5 and Lemma 7, we know that u^{ε} is a continuous function in $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ and u^{ε} is uniformly continuous in $[t,T]\times K$ where $K = \overline{B(0,M)} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

There exists $\eta > 0$ such that for $s, s_1 \in [t,T]$ and $z, z_1 \in K \mid s - s_1 \mid < \eta$ and $\mid z - z_1 \mid < \eta$, we have $|u^{\varepsilon}(s,z) - u^{\varepsilon}(s_1,z_1)| < \delta$.

Since $x_n \to x$ in $C([t,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$, fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $\|x_n - x\|_{\infty} < \eta$.

For all $r \in [t,T]$ and for all $n \geq n_0$ $x_n(r), x(r) \in K$ and

$$|u^{\varepsilon}(r,x(r)) - u^{\varepsilon}(r,x_n(r))| < \delta.$$

So, we conclude that $F^{\varepsilon}(x_n) \to F^{\varepsilon}(x)$, which proves the continuity of F^{ε} in the point $x \in C([t,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$. Next let us show the uniform convergence of the mapping F^{ε}. Consider a compact set $\mathcal{K}$ of $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\varphi(s),\ \varphi\in\mathcal{K},\ s\in[t,T]\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}$ is a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^n$. By Lemma 6, there exists a positive constant $\mathcal{C}_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{K}} \|F^{\varepsilon}(\varphi) - F(\varphi)\|^2 = \sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{K}}\sup_{s\in[t,T]} \left|Y^{\varepsilon,s,\varphi(s)}(s) - \mathcal{Y}^{s,\varphi(s)}(s)\right|^2 \\
\leq\ &\sup_{x\in\mathcal{L}}\sup_{s\in[t,T]} \left|Y^{\varepsilon,s,x}(s) - \mathcal{Y}^{s,x}(s)\right|^2 \leq \mathcal{C}_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 24. *For fully coupled FBSDEs, that is,*

$$\begin{cases} X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & x+\int_t^s f\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) dr \\ & & +\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s \sigma\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) dW(r), \\ Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s) & = & h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)+\int_s^T g\left(r, X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r), Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right) dr \\ & & -\int_s^T Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\, dW(r),\ 0\le t\le s\le T, \end{cases}$$

first of all, note that trajectories of the process $\left(Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right)_{(t\le s\le T)}$ are not continuous in general. As a matter of fact, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 in [30], we know only that $Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{M}^2\left(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\right)$, which allow us to arbitrarily change the values of the process $Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ in any P-null set. In particular, $\{t\}\times\Omega$ is a P-null set, which means that $Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ can be any $m\times d$ matrix. Hence, we can not get Lipschitz property for v^{ε}. This issue will be carried out in our future publications.

Remark 25. *Under the conditions (A3) and (A4), we have the same conclusion as in Theorem 23. The proof is similar we omit it.*

5 Appendix

Proof of Lemma 5.

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to $\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(\cdot)\right|^2$ on $[s,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}\left[\int_s^T\left(\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\ = \ & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}\left[\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)-h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right)\right|^2\right] \\ & +2\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}\left[\int_s^T\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\left(f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-f^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &\mathbb{E}\left[\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)-h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right)\right|^2\right]\\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T C_1\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &C_1^2\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right|^2\right]\\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(C_1\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right|^2\right]\right.\\
&\left.+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.2}
$$

since $2ab\leq a^2+b^2$.

Applying Itô's formula to $X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)$ yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\\
=\ &\int_t^s 2\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\left(f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-f^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r+\varepsilon\int_t^s\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\\
&+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s 2\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}W(r).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

By Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, there is a constant $C_3>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right]\\
\leq\ &C_3\left\{\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-f^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\right.\\
&\left.+\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\right\}\\
\leq\ &C_3\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left(6C_1^2+2\right)\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+6C_1^2\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &C_3\mathbb{E}\left[\left(6C_1^2+2\right)\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r+6TC_1^2\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.4}
$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right)$, and by virtue of assumption (A2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right|^2\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[(x-y)\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(t)\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)-h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right)\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right)\right] \\
& -\mathbb{E}\left[(x-y)\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(t)\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & -C_2\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & -C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

The inequality (5.5) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(T)\right|^2\right] \\
& +C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\right]\mathrm{d}r \\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[(x-y)\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(t)\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon,t,y}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)}{C_2}\mathbb{E}\left[(x-y)\left(Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(t)\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{2}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(t)\right|^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3C_1+2C_1^2}{C_2}\right)^2|x-y|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right]\leq\left(\frac{3C_1+2C_1^2}{C_2}\right)^2|x-y|^2. \tag{5.8}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, there exists a positive constant C_4, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t,y}(s)\right|^2\right]\leq C_4|x-y|^2, \tag{5.9}$$

where C_4 depends on C_1, C_2, C_3, and T. Finally, taking $\mathcal{C}_1=\max\left\{\left(\frac{3C_1+2C_1^2}{C_2}\right)^2, C_4\right\}$, we get the desired result. ☐

Proof of Lemma 6

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to $\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2 &= 2\int_t^s \left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\, f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r + \varepsilon\int_t^s \left|\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s 2X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}B(r).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

By Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, there is a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] \\
\le\ & C_5\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r + \int_t^T \left(\left|f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r + \varepsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left(\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\right]\right. \\
=\ & C_5\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + \left|f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) - f(r,0,0) + f(r,0,0)\right|^2\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left. + \varepsilon\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r) - \sigma(r,0,0) + \sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right]\right\} \\
\le\ & C_5\left\{\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + 6C_1^2\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right) + 2\left|f(r,0,0)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right. \\
& \left. + 4\varepsilon C_1^2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] + 2\int_t^T \left(\left|\sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right\} \\
\le\ & C_5\left(2 + 8C_1^2\right)\left[\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 + \left|f(r,0,0)\right|^2 + \left|\sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\le\ & C_5\left(2 + 8C_1^2\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r + T\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^T \left(\left|f(r,0,0)\right|^2 + \left|\sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Second applying Itô's formula to $\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(\cdot)\right|^2$ on $[s,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2 + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}\left[\int_s^T \left(\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
=\ & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}\left[\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right|^2\right] + 2\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}\left[\int_s^T Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\, f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\mathrm{d}r\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)-h(0)+h(0)\right|^{2}\right]\\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\left|f^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)-f(r,0,0,0)+f(r,0,0,0)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[2C_1^2\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^{2}+2\left|h(0)\right|^{2}\right]\\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}+3C_1^2\right)\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|f(r,0,0,0)\right|^{2}}{6C_1^2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ & \left(3C_1^2+3+\frac{1}{6C_1^2}\right)\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\right.\\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|f(r,0,0,0)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r+\left|h(0)\right|^{2}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.13}
$$

Set

$$C_6=\left(3C_1^2+3+\frac{1}{6C_1^2}\right)\left(\left|h(0)\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|g(r,0,0,0)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right).$$

Applying Itô's formula to $X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)$, and by virtue of assumption (A2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\,h^{\varepsilon,t,x}\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[xY^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\right]\\
\leq\ & -C_2\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,g(r,0,0,0)+Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,f(r,0,0)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\sigma(r,0,0)\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ & -\frac{C_2}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
& +\frac{1}{2C_2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|g(r,0,0,0)\right|^{2}+\left|f(r,0,0)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\sqrt{\varepsilon}Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\sigma(r,0,0)\,\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ & -\frac{C_2}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^{2}\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]+M_1,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.14}
$$

where

$$M_1=\frac{\int_0^T\left|g(r,0,0,0)\right|^{2}+\left|f(r,0,0)\right|^{2}\mathrm{d}r}{2C_2}+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\,\sigma(r,0,0)\right)\mathrm{d}r\right].$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\, h^{\varepsilon,t,x}\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)\right] \\
= \;& \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)-0\right)\left(h^{\varepsilon,t,x}\left(X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right)-h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)+h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right)\right] \\
\geq \;& \mathbb{E}\left[C_2\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^2+X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\, h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right] \\
\geq \;& \mathbb{E}\left[C_2\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^2-\frac{\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^2}{2\alpha}-\alpha\frac{\left|h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right|^2}{2}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where $\alpha>0$ large enough such that $C_2-\frac{1}{2\alpha}>0$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(C_2-\frac{1}{2\alpha}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^2\right] \\
& +\frac{C_2}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq \;& \mathbb{E}\left[xY^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\right]+M_1+\alpha\frac{\left|h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right|^2}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Setting

$$\begin{cases} M_2=M_1+\alpha\frac{\left|h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right|^2}{2}, \\ \tilde{C}=\min\left\{C_2-\frac{1}{2\alpha},\frac{C_2}{2}\right\}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(T)\right|^2+\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq \;& \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[xY^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\right]+M_2}{\tilde{C}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Noting (5.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq \;& \left(3C_1^2+3+\frac{1}{6C_1^2}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[xY^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\right]+M_2}{\tilde{C}}\right)+C_6 \\
\leq \;& \frac{\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\right|^2}{2}+\frac{|x|^2\left(3C_1^2+3+\frac{1}{6C_1^2}\right)^2}{2\tilde{C}^2} \\
& +\frac{\left(3C_1^2+3+\frac{1}{6C_1^2}\right)M_2}{\tilde{C}}+C_6.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Define

$$\tilde{M} = \frac{\left(3C_1^2 + 3 + \frac{1}{6C_1^2}\right)}{\tilde{C}}.$$

The expression (5.18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(t)\right|^2}{2} + \frac{|x|^2\tilde{M}^2}{2} \\
& +\tilde{M}\left(\alpha\frac{\left|h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right|^2}{2} + \frac{\int_0^T\left(|g(r,0,0,0)|^2 + |f(r,0,0)|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r}{2C_2}\right) \\
& +\tilde{M}^2\int_0^T |\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}r + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right] + C_6.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left|Z^{\varepsilon,t,x}(r)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & |x|^2\tilde{M}^2 + \tilde{M}\left(\alpha\frac{\left|h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right|^2}{2} + \frac{\int_0^T\left(|g(r,0,0,0)|^2 + |f(r,0,0)|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r}{2C_2}\right) \\
& +2\tilde{M}^2\int_0^T |\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}r + 2C_6 \\
\leq\ & \max\left\{\Sigma, \tilde{M}^2\right\}\left(1+|x|^2\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where

$$\Sigma = \tilde{M}\left(\alpha\frac{\left|h^{\varepsilon,t,x}(0)\right|^2}{2} + \frac{\int_0^T\left(|g(r,0,0,0)|^2 + |f(r,0,0)|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r}{2C_2} + 2\tilde{M}\int_0^T |\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}r\right) + 2C_6.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we derive

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right] \leq C_7\left(1+|x|^2\right), \tag{5.21}$$

where C_7 is independent of ε. Taking

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \max\left\{\max\left\{\Sigma, \tilde{M}^2\right\}, C_7\right\},$$

we get the desired result. □

The proof of Lemma 7.

Proof. Suppose that $t_1 > t_2$. By standard estimates and Itô isometry we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t_1\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2\right]\\
\le\ & 2(t_1-t_2)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_2}^{t_1}\left|f\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]+2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_2}^{t_1}\left|\sigma\left(r,X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r),Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\le\ & (t_1-t_2)\,\mathbb{E}\left[16TC_1^2\left(\sup_{t_2\le r\le t_1}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right|^2+\sup_{t_2\le r\le t_1}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right|^2\right)+2\int_0^T|f(r,0,0)|^2\mathrm{d}r\right.\\
&\left.+2\int_0^T|\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\mathrm{d}r\right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 6 that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t_1\le s\le T}\left|X^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2\right]\le (t_1-t_2)\,\Theta,$$

where

$$\Theta=\left[16TC_1^2\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right)+2\int_0^T|f(r,0,0)|^2\mathrm{d}r+2\int_0^T|\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]$$

Similarly as in Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t_1\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_1}^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\le\ & \left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(T)\right|^2\right]\right.\\
&\left.+\mathbb{E}\int_{t_1}^T\left|X^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\sup_{t_1\le s\le T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(s)\right|^2\right]\\
\le\ & (t_1-t_2)\,e^T\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)(1+T)\,\Theta,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_1}^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon,t_1,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon,t_2,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\le\ & 2(t_1-t_2)\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\Theta\left(1+T+e^T\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)(1+T)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{C}_5=e^T\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)(1+T)\,\Theta\\ \mathcal{C}_6=2\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\Theta\left(1+T+e^T\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)(1+T)\right)\end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_3=\max\left\{\Theta,\mathcal{C}_5,\mathcal{C}_6\right\}.$$

We get the desired result. □

The proof of Lemma 8.

Proof. Analogously, applying Itô's formula to $\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(\cdot)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(\cdot)\right|^2$ on $[t,T]$, by the method used above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &\mathbb{E}\left[\left|h\left(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)\right)-h\left(X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(T)\right)\right|^2\right]\\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(C_1\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &C_1^2\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(T)\right|^2\right]\\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(C_1\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(T)\right|^2\right]\right.\\
&\left.+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.22}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\\
=\ &\int_t^s 2\left(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)\left(f^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-f^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r+\varepsilon\int_t^s\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\\
&+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_t^s 2\left(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}W(r).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

By Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, there is a constant $C_8>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right]\\
\leq\ &C_8\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|f^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-f^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\right.\\
&\left.+\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-\sigma^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right]\right.\\
\leq\ &C_8\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left(6C_1^2+2\right)\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+6C_1^2\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]\\
\leq\ &C_8\mathbb{E}\left[\left(6C_1^2+2\right)\int_t^T\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r+6TC_1^2\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{5.24}$$

Once again applying Itô's formula to $\left(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)\left(Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)$, and by virtue of assumption (A2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(T)\right|^2\right] \\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}\left[h\left(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)\right)-h\left(X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(T)\right)\left(X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(T)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(T)\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & -C_2\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
& +\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\sigma^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\left(Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & -C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|\sigma^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)-\sigma(r,0,0)+\sigma(r,0,0)\right|^2+\left|Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & -C_2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
& +\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right)\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[4TC_1^2\left(\sup_{t\leq r\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\sup_{t\leq r\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left(\left|Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\left|Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\right)\mathrm{d}r\right]+2\int_0^T|\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}r\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.25}$$

From Lemma 6, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sup_{t\leq r\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\sup_{t\leq r\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2+\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)\right|^2(r)+\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2(r)\,\mathrm{d}r\right) \\
\leq\ & 4\mathcal{C}_2\left(1+|x|^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining (5.22), (5.25), (A1) and (A2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|Y^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T\left|Z^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(r)-Z^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(r)\right|^2\mathrm{d}r\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right)}{2}M_3\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{5.26}$$

where

$$M_3=\frac{\left(3C_1+2C_1^2\right)\left[\left(1+|x|^2\right)\left(2\mathcal{C}_2+8TC_1^2\mathcal{C}_2\right)+2\int_0^T|\sigma(r,0,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}r\right]}{C_2}.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we also obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|X^{\varepsilon_1,t,x}(s)-X^{\varepsilon_2,t,x}(s)\right|^2\right]\leq C_9\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}-\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}\right),$$

where C_9 is independent of ε. Taking

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \max\left\{C_9, \frac{M_3\left(3C_1 + 2C_1^2\right)}{2}\right\},$$

we complete the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The first and second authors wish to thank Fernanda Cipriano for reading this work, and for her constructive suggestions and questions. The third author thanks to Prof. Zhen Wu and Dr Zhiyong Yu helpful suggestion and conversations and Prof. Fuqing Gao for providing the reference [4].

References

[1] Azencott R., Grandes Déviations et Applications et Applications, École d' Été de Probabilitiés de Saint-Flour, 1-76, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.774, Springer 1980.

[2] Antonelli, F., 1993. Backward-forward Stochastic Differential Equations, Ann. Appl. Probab. 3, no. 3, 777-793.

[3] Baldi P., Chaleyat-Maurel M., 1988. An Extension of Ventzell-Freidlin Estimates, Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics, Silivri 1986, 305-327, Lecture Notes in Math., 1316, Springer, Berlin.

[4] Baldi P., 1986. Large Deviations and Functional Iterated Logarithm Law for Diffusion Processes. Probab. Th. Rel. Fields 71, 435-453.

[5] Baldi, P. Caramellino, L., 2011. General Freidlin–Wentzell Large Deviations and positive diffusions. Statistics and Probability Letters 81, 1218–1229.

[6] Bismut J.M; Théorie Probabilistique du Contrôle des Diffusions, Mem. Amer. Math. Society, 176, Providence, Rhode Island, 1973.

[7] Boue, M., Dupuis, P., 1998. A variation representation for certain functionals of Brownian motion. Annals of Probability. Vol. 26. No. 4, 1641-1659.

[8] Buckdahn, R., Engelbert, H.-J., Rascanu, A., 2004. On weak solution of backward stochastic differential equations. Teor. Veroyatn. Primen. 49 70-108. MR2141331.

[9] Crandall, M., G., Ishii, H., Lions, P. L., 1992. User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, Bulletin Of The American Mathematical Society, 27(1), 1-67.

[10] Cvitanic, J., and Ma, J., 1996. Hedging option for a large investor and forward-backward stochastic differential equations. Ann, Appl. Probab. 6: 370-398.

[11] Chen, Z., Xiong, J., 2012. Large deviation principle for diffusion processes under a sublinear expectation. Vol. 55 No. 11: 2205–2216.

[12] Dembo, A., Zeitouni, O., 1998. Large Deviations Techniques and Applications, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York.

[13] Diédhiou, A., Manga, C., 2008. Application of homogenization and large deviations to a parabolic semilinear equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008) 146–160.

[14] Delarue F., On the Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions to FBSDEs in a Non Degenerate Case, Stoch. Processes and their Applications, 99, 209-286, 2002

[15] Delarue F., Estimates of the Solutions of a System of Quasi-linear PDEs. A probabilistic scheme, Séminaire des Probabilités XXXVII, 290-332, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1832, 2003, Springer

[16] El Karoui, E., Peng, S., Quenez, M. C., 1997. Backward stochastic differential equation in finance, Math. Finance 7 1-71.

[17] Essaky, El H., 2008. Large deviation principle for a backward stochastic differential equation with subdifferential operator. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 75-78.

[18] Frei C., Reis G., Quadratic FBSDE with Generalized Burgers Type Nonlinearities, PDE Perturbation and Large Deviations, to appear in Stochastic and Dynamics

[19] Hu, Y., Peng, S., 1995. Solution of Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations. Probab. Theory Related Fields 103: 273–283.

[20] Ladyzhenskaja O.A. , Solonnikov V.A., Uraliceva N.N., Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, AMS, Providence, RI, 1968

[21] Meyer, P., Zheng, W., 1984. Tightness criteria for laws of semimartingales, Ann. Inst. HenriPoincarï¿$\frac{1}{2}$, Vol. 20 4, 353–372.

[22] Ma, J., Wu, Z., Zhang, D., Zhang, J., On Wellposedness of Forward-Backward SDEs — A Unified Approach arXiv:1110.4658v1 [math.PR]

[23] Ma, J., Protter, P., Yong, J., 1994. Solving Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations Explicitly - A Four Step Scheme, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields., 98, 339-359.

[24] Ma, J. Zajic, T. Large Deviation and Rare Event Simulation for Forward-Backward SDEs. Conference on Control of Distributed Parameter and Stochastic Systems, Talk/Oral Presentation, Paper, Hangzhou, China, Invited, Spring 1998.

[25] Pardoux, E., Peng, S., 1990. Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. Systems Control Lett. 14, 55-61.

[26] Peng, S., 1991. Probabilistic interpretation for systems of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. Stoch. Stoch. Repts. 37, 61-74.

[27] Pardoux, E., Peng, S., 1992. Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. In: Rozovskii, B.L., Sowers, R.B. (eds.) Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications (Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci. vol. 176, pp. 200-217) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer.

[28] Pardoux E., Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Viscosity Solutions of Systems of Semilinear Parabolic and Elliptic PDEs of 2nd order, Stoch. Analysis and Related Topics, The Geilo Workshop, 79-127, Birkhauser,1996

[29] Pardoux, E. Tang, S., 1999. Forward-backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic PDEs, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 114, no. 2, 123-150.

[30] Peng, S., Wu. Z., 1999. Fully Coupled Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications to Optimal Control. SIAM Control Optim. 37 (3): 825-843.

[31] Pham, H., 2007. Some applications and methods of large deviations in finance and insurance. arXiv:math/0702473v2 [math.PR].

[32] Rainero, S., 2006. Un principe de grandes déviations pour une équation différentielle stochastique progressive rétrograde. C. R. Acad. Sci Paris, Ser. I 343 (2) 141-144.

[33] Thalmaier, A., 1997. On the differentiation of heat semigroups and Poisson integrals, Stochastics Stochastics Rep., 61, 297-321.

[34] Thalmaier, A., Wang, F., 1998. Gradient estimates for harmonic functions on regular domains in Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal., 155, 109-124.